

Second Level Screening Criteria / IWG Scoring Card

Screening Criteria	Scoring Basis			West End Irrigation District Piping Project	Little Walla Walla Integrated Strategies	Kromm Riparian Restoration	Well House Meter Replacement	Phase II: Yellowhawk Creek Streamkeepers
	Low	Medium	High					
Watershed Plan Congruency	(0-3) In Watershed Plan	(4-6) – In DIP Table 3-3, but not 3-4	(7-10) In DIP Table 3-4					
Private/Public landowner partnerships/ Community Support	(0-1) Limited - general communication occurred	(2-3) Moderate – Partnerships and Volunteers interested in proposal	(4-5) Significant – Partnerships and Volunteers committing time, money, or in kind.					
Proposal Certainty/Validity	(0-3) Proposal is based on proven science and will likely not meet objectives	(4-6) Proposal is based on un-proven science but will likely meet objectives	(7-10) Proposal is based on proven science and will likely meet objectives					
MSA vs. mSA	(0-3) not in an MSA or mSA, but includes fish benefits	(4-6) mSA (minor spawning area)	(7-10) MSA (major spawning area) or Imminent Threat					
Professional Opinion of Proposal Merit		Maximum of 15 points						
Multiple Significant Benefits:	(0-3) Benefit to Water Quality	(4-6) Benefit to Water Quality	(7-10) Benefit to Water Quality					
	(0-3) Benefit to Water Quantity	(4-6) Benefit to Water Quantity	(7-10) Benefit to Water Quantity					
	(0-3) Benefit to Habitat	(4-6) Benefit to Habitat	(7-10) Benefit to Habitat					
	(0-1) Human dimension Benefit	(2-3) Human dimension Benefit	(4-5) Human dimension Benefit					
Long Term Maintenance	(0-1) – High level of maintenance including: specialty crews, safety concerns, special procedures	(2-3) Moderate level of maintenance, typical mechanized equipment	(4-5) - No maintenance or no special equipment necessary (visual inspection, shovels, rakes, etc.)					
Project Perpetuity	(0-3) Proposal will result in a project estimated lifespan of 0-5 years	(4-6) Proposal will result in a project estimated lifespan of 6-10 years	(7-10) Proposal will result in a project estimated lifespan of 11+ years. I.E. barrier removal, culvert replacement					
Totals (max=100)								

IWG Operating Rules Concerning Scoring/Voting

IWG members will not rank projects that they are affiliated with. For projects where the committee member has an affiliation, a score equal to the average of that given by the other members will be assigned as their score to the tally sheet. An IWG member shall be considered “affiliated with” a project if any of the following apply:

- Member has a personal financial interest in the project.
- Any organization they are associated with in a formal way (such as an employee or board member) is a sponsor or has a financial interest in the project.
- They are the project sponsor or applicant.

Instructions:

Per the operating rules IWG members are to review each proposal and give each proposal a preliminary scoring based on criteria identified in the table prior to the meeting, and bring their scorecard and all proposal materials to the meeting for review and discussion.

IWG Member:

Date: